

**RICARDO M. GONZALEZ**  
LAW OFFICES OF RICARDO M. GONZALEZ  
California State Bar No. 98993  
101 W. Broadway, Suite 1950  
San Diego, California 92101  
Telephone: (619) 238-9910  
Fax: (619) 238-9914

Attorney for Defendant  
Benjamin Zazuerta

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
(HONORABLE MARILYN L. HUFF, JUDGE)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Criminal Case No. 08cr0896-H
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Date: May 12, 2008
	)	Time: 2:00 P.M.
v.	)	
	)	
BENJAMIN ZAZUERTA,	)	<b>MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND</b>
aka Benjamin Zazuerta-Norzagaray,	)	<b>AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF</b>
	)	<b>MOTION FOR DISCOVERY</b>
Defendant.	)	
	)	

Defendant BENJAMIN ZAZUERTA, by and through his counsel, Ricardo M. Gonzalez, respectfully submits the following memorandum of points and authorities in support of his motion for discovery.

**I.**  
**DISCOVERY**

To preserve his rights and guard against undue prejudice due to delay, the defendant seeks an order compelling discovery of the following material, and further seeks an order establishing a discovery schedule in this case. Defendant requests full discovery pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure,<sup>1</sup> *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), the *Jencks* Act (18 U.S.C. § 3500), and the Fifth and Sixth Amendments of the United States Constitution. For

---

<sup>1</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, all further rule references will be to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

1 the purposes of Rule 16 discovery and *Brady* the prosecutor “will be deemed to have knowledge  
2 of and access to anything in the possession, custody or control of any federal agency  
3 participating in the same investigation of the defendant.” *United States v. Bryan*, 868 F.2d 1032,  
4 1036 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 493 U.S. 858 (1989). Defendant requests that discovery be  
5 completed reasonably in advance of trial so that he can make use of the materials provided in  
6 his defense. Defendant seeks discovery of the following:

7 **A. Statements of the Defendant.**

8 Pursuant to Rule 16(a)(1)(A), defendant requests full discovery concerning any statements  
9 made by him. The rule requires disclosure of any statement of the defendant’s in the possession  
10 of the government in any form. It also requires disclosure of any portion of any report or other  
11 written record containing the substance of a statement by the defendant made to a known  
12 government agent, and the substance of any other statement made by the defendant to a known  
13 government agent that the government intends to use at trial for any purpose.

14 The government must disclose not only the substance of the defendant’s statement but  
15 also the substance of the defendant’s response to *Miranda* warnings. If the government does not  
16 inform counsel that the defendant invoked her right to remain silent or her right to counsel, the  
17 conviction may be reversed. *United States v. McElroy*, 697 F.2d 459, 465 (2d Cir. 1982). The  
18 Advisory Committee Notes as well as the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make it clear that the  
19 government must reveal *all* the defendant’s statements, whether oral or written, regardless of  
20 whether the government intends to introduce those statements.

21 **B. Request for Criminal Record, Prior Bad Acts, and Notice Under FRE 404(b).**

22 Defendant requests all evidence, documents, records of judgments and convictions,  
23 photographs and tangible evidence, and information pertaining to any prior arrests and  
24 convictions or any prior similar acts or prior bad acts of defendant. The defendant’s prior  
25 criminal record must be produced under Rule 16(a)(1)(B). Evidence of prior similar acts or prior  
26 bad acts is discoverable under Rule 16(a)(1)(C), and Rules 404(b) and 609 of the Federal Rules  
27 of Evidence. *See United States v. Cook*, 608 F.2d 1175 (9th Cir. 1979) (en banc), *cert. denied*,  
28 444 U.S. 1034 (1980). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 404(b), defendant specifically

1 requests notice concerning any evidence the government plans to introduce against him under  
2 Rule 404(b) and any prior or subsequent act relating to a specific instance of conduct which the  
3 government will attempt to introduce under Federal Rule of Evidence 608(b).

4 **C. Other Documents and Physical Evidence.**

5 Pursuant to Rule 16(a)(1)(C), defendant requests full discovery of all physical and  
6 documentary evidence and objects, including but not limited to all books, papers, documents,  
7 photographs, tangible objects, or copies or portions thereof which the government intends to  
8 introduce as evidence in its case-in-chief, or is material to the preparation of the defense, or was  
9 obtained from the defendant or which the government claims belong to the defendant.

10 **D. Scientific Reports and Examination.**

11 Pursuant to Rule 16(a)(1)(D), defendant requests full discovery of all scientific tests or  
12 experiments and results of physical or mental examinations which are material to the defense or  
13 are to be used as evidence by the government at trial. The government must also give the  
14 defense adequate notice of the use of the scientific tests or expert witnesses in order that the  
15 defense has "adequate time to obtain an expert to assist him in attacking the findings of the  
16 government's . . . expert." *United States v. Barrett*, 703 F.2d 1076, 1081 (9th Cir. 1983).

17 **E. Witness Discovery.**

18 Defendant requests disclosure of any evidence that any prospective witness is under  
19 investigation by federal, state or local authorities for any criminal or official misconduct. *United*  
20 *States v. Chitty*, 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir.), *cert. denied*, 474 U.S. 945 (1985). Defendant also  
21 requests any evidence that any prospective witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant,  
22 or has a motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony. *Pennsylvania v. Ritchie*, 480 U.S. 39  
23 (1987) *United States v. Strifler*, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988), *cert. denied*, 489 U.S. 1032  
24 (1989); *United States v. Alvarez-Lopez*, 559 F.2d 1155, 1157 (9th Cir. 1977).

25 The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective government witness has  
26 engaged in any criminal act, whether or not resulting in a conviction, and whether any witness  
27 has made a statement favorable to the defendant. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 608, 609 and 613. Such  
28 evidence is discoverable under *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). *See Strifler*, 851 F.2d

1 1197 (witness's prior record); *Thomas v. United States*, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence  
2 that detracts from a witness's credibility).

3 Defendant further requests that the government review for impeachment material the  
4 personnel files of any agents it intends to produce as witnesses. *United States v. Henthorn*, 931  
5 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991). *Henthorn* requires that all material information should be disclosed, and  
6 any information which is arguably material should be submitted to the Court for *in camera*  
7 examination. *Id.* at 30-32.

8 Defendant requests disclosure of any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric  
9 report or evaluation, tending to show that any prospective witness's ability to perceive,  
10 remember, communicate, or tell the truth is impaired; and any evidence that a witness has ever  
11 used narcotics or other controlled substance, or has ever been an alcoholic. *Strifler*, 851 F.2d  
12 1197; *Chavis v. North Carolina*, 637 F.2d 213, 224 (4th Cir. 1980); *United States v. Butler*, 567  
13 F.2d 885 (9th Cir. 1978).

14 Defendant also requests the name and last known address of each prospective government  
15 witness, *see United States v. Napue*, 834 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir. 1987); *United States v. Tucker*, 716  
16 F.2d 583 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure to interview government witnesses by counsel is ineffective);  
17 *United States v. Cook*, 608 F.2d at 1181 (defense has equal right to talk to witnesses), and the  
18 name and last known address of every witness to the crime or crimes charged (or any of the overt  
19 acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will *not* be called as a government witness, *United*  
20 *States v. Cadet*, 727 F.2d 1469 (9th Cir. 1984).

21 **F. Preservation of *Jencks* Act Material.**

22 Defendant requests that the government preserve all rough notes and other materials  
23 arguably subject to production under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3500 (the "*Jencks*  
24 Act") or under Rules 12(I) or 26.2. The government is placed on notice that all such materials  
25 will be requested by the defense concerning any government witness called to testify at trial, and  
26 all law enforcement witnesses who testify, regardless of by whom called, at all pretrial  
27 proceedings.

28 ///

1 The government is also placed on notice that the defense will seek *in camera* review of  
2 all such materials which the government claims are not subject to production, and that such  
3 material must be preserved. See *United States v. Harris*, 543 F.2d 1247 (9th Cir. 1976).  
4 Recognizing that such materials, with the exclusion of materials producible under *Brady v.*  
5 *Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), are not subject to production until the close of the witness's  
6 testimony, the defense nonetheless asks that such material be disclosed reasonably in advance  
7 of the relevant hearing so as not to unduly delay the proceedings.

8 **G. Disclosure Concerning Informants and Percipient Witnesses.**

9 Defendant requests discovery concerning all informants who were percipient witnesses  
10 to any of the counts alleged against him, or who otherwise participated in the illegal conduct  
11 alleged against the defendant, and disclosure of each informant's identity and location, as well  
12 as disclosure of the existence of any other percipient witnesses unknown or unknowable to the  
13 defense. See *Roviaro v. United States*, 353 U.S. 52, 61-62 (1957); *United States v. Ordonez*, 737  
14 F.2d 793, 808 (9th Cir. 1984). The identity and whereabouts of all informants should be  
15 disclosed so that defendant has the opportunity to investigate the credibility and background of  
16 the informant prior to trial and to possibly call the informant as a witness at trial. Furthermore,  
17 defendant specifically requests that the government produce the confidential informants. The  
18 government has an obligation to accomplish this or show that despite reasonable efforts, it was  
19 not able to do so. *United States v. Hart*, 546 F.2d 798, 799 (9th Cir. 1976) (en banc).

20 Furthermore, any information derived from informants that exculpate or tends to  
21 exculpate the defendant, or furnishes sentencing mitigation must also be disclosed. In addition,  
22 the government must also disclose any information indicating bias on the part of an informant,  
23 generally known as *Giglio* material, see *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), and the  
24 line of cases concerning discovery of material bearing on informant credibility. Such  
25 information would include what, if any, inducements, favors, or payments were made to  
26 informants to obtain his or her cooperation with the government.

27 **H. Other Exculpatory Evidence.**

28 Pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny, the defendant moves

1 that the Court order the government to immediately disclose all evidence in its possession  
2 favorable to him on the issue of guilt or to punishment. The defendant requests the Court order  
3 the government to make a diligent effort to ascertain what evidence it has or might reasonably  
4 discover which would create a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt in the mind of the  
5 trier of fact, and to surrender any such evidence to the defendant immediately upon its discovery.  
6 *Hilliard v. Spalding*, 719 F.2d 1443 (9th Cir. 1983) (government suppression of possible  
7 exculpatory evidence denied defendant due process; no showing of prejudice required); *United*  
8 *States v. Gardner*, 605 F.2d 1076 (9th Cir. 1980).

9 This request includes any information that may result in a lower sentence under the  
10 United States Sentencing Guidelines, including any cooperation or attempted cooperation by the  
11 defendant, as well as any information that could affect any base offense level or specific offense  
12 characteristics under Chapter Two of the Sentencing Guidelines. Also included in this request  
13 is any information relevant to a Chapter Three adjustment, a determination of the defendant's  
14 criminal history, or any other application of the Sentencing Guidelines.

15 **II.**

16 **CONCLUSION**

17 For the foregoing reasons, defendant BENJAMIN ZAZUERTA, by and through his  
18 attorney Ricardo M. Gonzalez, respectfully requests that this Court grant his motion for  
19 discovery.

20  
21 Dated: May 7, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

22  
23 *s/Ricardo M. Gonzalez*  
24 RICARDO M. GONZALEZ  
25 Attorney for Defendant  
26 Benjamin Zazuerta  
27  
28